Maciej Jaszczynski (Paris)

**Indo-European Roots of the Helen of Troy**

**Establishing Helen's divinity**

Firstly, it needs to be established that although Helen is widely known as a mythological, yet mortal and definitely human figure in the Greek literature, she was in fact considered as a goddess in some parts of Greece. Already in 1893, the Swedish philologist Samuel Wide published a comprehensive review of the evidence for Helen's cult in Laconia in his monograph *Lakonische Kulte*. She had a ἱερόν – a temple – in Sparta, but the main place of her cult was Therapne, where she was worshipped with Menelaus. From the oldest times, her cult in Laconia had a form of tree-worship – young girls would bring lotos flowers and olive oil and put them on plane trees. We also know of the cult of Ἑλένη δενδρῖτις on Rhodes. Children were often put under the protection of Helen in her temple. According to Hesychius, there was a festival in Laconia called Ἑλένια, where young women would carry baskets to the temple of Helen. Spartan Helen appears on many votive reliefs with Dioskouroi – her brothers Kastor and Polydeuces – which suggests that they were worshipped together. One can also mention Helen's apotheosis together with Achilleus on the island of Leuce narrated by Philostrates in the *Heroicus*. Thus it is proper to treat her at least as local Greek goddess and there is evidence that in fact she, or rather her 'prototype', was an important member of the Proto-Indo-European pantheon.

---

3 PAUSANIAS, *Description of Greece*, III, 19, 10, vol. II, Books 3–5 (Laconia, Messenia, Elis 1), trans. W.H.S. JONES, H.A. ORMEROD, Cambridge Massachusetts–London 1926 [= LCL, 188]: They say that this Polyxø desired to avenge the death of Tlepolemus on Helen, now that she had her in her power. So she sent against her when she was bathing handmaidens dressed up as Furies, who seized Helen and hanged her on a tree, and for this reason the Rhodians have a sanctuary of Helen of the Tree.
Etymology of Helen's name

As in the case of some Greek deities, we can firstly turn to the linguistic heritage and examine Helen's name and its etymology to establish whether we can trace it back to Proto-Indo-European times. As a curiosity, we can invoke the synchronic, folk etymology provided by Aeschylus in the Agamemnon who connected Helen with the aorist stem of the verb αἰρέω ‘ἕλ-’ which can mean ‘overpower, kill’ to evoke her blame for the devastating effects of the Trojan War⁶.

Many scholars have undertaken this task over the last century and no-one has been able to reach a fully satisfying conclusion. Some even deemed the challenge hopeless⁷. There have been three main lines of interpretation for her name, although there exist nine possible etymologies⁸.

The first one, proposed among others by Martin West derives Helen's name from the Proto-Indo-European root *swel- ‘to shine’, or as suggested by Stefan Höfler – *swelh₁- ‘to glow with heat’. It appears in many other Indo-European families¹⁰. This analysis is supported by Julius Pokorny who gives examples of other manifestations of this root, e.g. Vedic svárati ‘shines’, Greek itself has some other instances, ἑλας, ἑλη ‘sunshine, sun’s heat’, ἐλάνη ‘torch’. Interestingly, Σέλας ‘light’ and its derivatives, like σέληνη ‘moon’, are quite problematic and its relationship with the root is unclear. Semantically it fits, but the preservation of the initial /s/ before a vowel is not a typical outcome for Greek at any stage of its development¹¹. Apart from that, we find Albanian diell ‘sun’, Old English swelan to ‘burn’, German schwelen, Lithuanian svílti ‘grill’, so the root itself is very well established.

There is a controversy between the roots *swel- and *swelh₁-. The LIV lists *swel- as the root meaning ‘schwelen, Brennen’ and *swelH- as the one with the significance of ‘anschwellen’¹². However, S. Höfler convincingly argues that in fact the correct root should be reconstructed as *swelh₁-. The evidence is the acute variant of the Lithuanian verb svílti, just mentioned, Old English swol – ‘flame’

---

⁷ P. Chantraine, Dictionnaire Étymologique de la Langue Grecque, Paris 1968, p. 335 (s.v. Ἑλένη: Quelle que soit l’interprétation tentée par les historiens de la religion, il est vain de chercher une étymologie).
from *sw/H-o- and the Greek ἀλέη – ‘warmth, heat’ which shows a coloring of the *auslauting laryngeal\textsuperscript{13}.

The key evidence in support of this line of derivation is the discovery of two archaic Laconian inscriptions, one from 675–650 BC, the other from the 6\textsuperscript{th} century BC, where the name is spelled with the initial digamma\textsuperscript{14}. This definitely rules out the direct derivation from σέλας and the connection with the Vedic goddess Saranyū – the mare-mother of the Aśvins, proposed by some scholars, but allows to reconstruct an older form of the name as *Sweléna, with an expected development of *swel- into *he-, as in the Proto-Indo-European reflexive pronoun *swe (refl.) which surfaces in Attic Greek as ἐ. This suggests the derivation from the root *swelh₁- with the suffix *-nos/*nē₂>*nā, very characteristic of the names of Indo-European gods, presumably meaning ‘the lord of/lady of’: Anatolian Tarḫunnas, Indic Varuṇa, Greek ጸxoops, Roman Neptūnus and Vulcānus, Lithuanian Perkūnas and others\textsuperscript{15}. This would conveniently explain Helen's name as Lady of Light, as already proposed by J. Pokorny\textsuperscript{16}.

There are, however, others who would like very much to connect Helen, her name and her story with the Vedic Saranyū. Otto Skutsch in his article on Helen points out that the spelling with the initial digamma is not fully authoritative, as we do find Helen’s name spelled without a digamma on two Corinthian craters from the early 6\textsuperscript{th} century. The Doric dialect of Corinth retains the digamma word-initially relatively long, the first known, and isolated, omission comes in early 5\textsuperscript{th} century in an inscription honoring those who died at Salamis. This version brings Helen perfectly in line with Saranyū, whose name is derived from the adjective saraṇa- – ‘swift’, phonetically matching with Ἑλένη, if we assume that the Corinthian form is the original one\textsuperscript{17}. This led O. Skutsch to believe that there were two separate Helens, one *Selena and the other *Swelena, who merged at some point in the Greek mythological and religious tradition. He justifies this radical idea, not only by two parallel possible etymologies, but also by the fact that Helen had two different identities in the Greek religious tradition. There was Helen, daughter of Zeus, sister of the Dioskouroi, taking over the solar attributes of the Dawn-goddess, but we also have a lot of evidence that in historical times Helen was worshipped in multiples places in the Greek world as a vegetation deity, as mentioned by S. Wide – Ἑλένη δενδρῖτις.

I consider the possibility of Helen being originally two separate entities to be highly unlikely. Especially because O. Skutsch creates this separate goddess

\textsuperscript{13} S. Höfler, “La Belle Hélène”..., p. 2–3.
\textsuperscript{15} M. West, Indo-European..., p. 137.
\textsuperscript{17} O. Skutsch, Helen, Her Name and Nature, JHS 107, 1987, p. 190.
"Selena, just to equate her with Saranyū, so to go back to her association with the Vedic Aśvins. This is quite an extreme concept, which needs a lot more evidence and justification to be convincing. No other Indo-European tradition provides any evidence for two separate goddesses, one "Selena and the other "Swelena. While the inconsistencies of the epigraphic evidence and very different roles of Helen in Greece are baffling, it is not enough to conjure an additional goddess for the Proto-Indo-European period merely to explain a specifically Greek problem.

The third concept, represented by Linda Clader completely discards Helen's associations with the root "swel- or with Saranyū and treats it as deriving from either of the homophones ἑλένη, one meaning 'basket of woven rope' and the other 'the torch of reeds', both of which she considers coming from the root "wel- 'to turn', not as J. Pokorny suggests "swel- in the case of the torch. She noticed that both objects can be produced by twisting reeds, which would explain their etymology. Her reconstructed version of Helen's name is thus "Welena. She connects the name with the ritual practices found in Sparta where at the festival of Helenephoria baskets called ἑλέναι were carried by young girls.

I do not consider this to be a probable solution to the question of the etymology of Helen's name. While it is true that Helen was mostly worshipped in Laconia, she was still a very well-known figure in all of Greece, possibly quite archaic given her importance in the Homeric epics and parallels with other Indo-European traditions, so on this basis alone, it is difficult to imagine that her name derives from a local Laconian ritual practice.

Finally, it is also necessary to mention a new etymology proposed by Georges-Jean Pinault in his recent article. He completely refutes any connections with the Vedic Saranyū as linguistically impossible in the light of the epigraphic evidence of the spelling with a digamma and suggests that the Corinthian spelling might be a result of the Attic influence in the context of the vase-painting. He also denies the legitimacy of M. West's reconstruction on the basis that the suffix -eno, which were to be added to the root "swel- is not productive in Greek. This, however, can be solved by positing the root "swelh₁-, as proposed and well argued by S. Höfler. Instead, G.-J. Pinault tries to explain the name as a compound "suh₁-l-h₁-eno > "suh₁-l-h₁-eno, which would mean 'having a year like a thread'. Thus, he considers Helen's name to be related to the function of Greek Mōirai or Latin Parcae.

The circumstantial evidence, that is her association with the Divine Twins, similarities with the Vedic deities, explored in the following parts of this paper, strongly suggests that the character of Helen is old and Proto-Indo-European. As I have explained earlier, the existence of two Helens, one "Swelena from the root "swelh₁- 'to shine', and the other "Selena, cognate with Vedic sarana – 'swift' and

---

20 S. Höfler, "La Belle Hélène"…, p. 2–3.
thus Saranyū is completely improbable, as there is simply not enough evidence for that. When it comes to the epigraphic controversy of *Swelena versus *Selena, although the evidence is inconclusive, as a rule it is generally easier to explain, or overlook, the unexpected lack of digamma than its presence in the inscriptions, so I do think that M. West and J. Pokorny are ultimately the closest ones to the truth by explaining her name as ‘Lady of Light’ or ‘Lichtgöttin’, although we have to keep in mind that the direct derivation from σέλας is not possible. G.-J. Pinault’s idea is definitely viable linguistically, but the solar explanations fit much better culturally and comparatively.

**Proto-Indo-European Dawn and the Daughter of the Sun**

There have been numerous publications discussing the members of the divine family of Indo-European gods, e.g. *Indo-European Poetry and Myth* by M. West, or an article by Peter Jackson *Light from Distant Asterisks*\(^{21}\). Among the reconstructed deities we often find two similar figures: Dawn and the ‘Daughter of the Sun’. The two are best preserved in the Vedic tradition as Uṣās – Dawn and Sūryā, which is the feminine form of Sūrya- – the Sun – with the shift of the accent, who is the wife of the Aśvins – the Divine Twins. The goddess of dawn is a very well attested Indo-European divinity and there is not doubt about her archaic character, even purely on linguistic grounds, as Vedic uṣas-, Avestan ušah-, Greek ἠώς and Latin Aurora all go back to the Proto-Indo-European verbal root *h₂us-*/*h₂eus-* meaning ‘to glow, to flame’ extended with an ‘-os suffix\(^{22}\). As it is often the case with the Greek deities, who we would like to take back to the Proto-Indo-European times, it is not easy to find direct equivalents in other Indo-European traditions. Like in the case of the Zeus, whose name is obviously related to Vedic Dyaus, his function and attributes often correspond to Indra. Likewise, the Dawn goddess – Ἑώς is well-attested in the Homeric epics, but there is no explicit mention of the ‘Daughter of the Sun’ or the ‘Sun-princess’ as she is sometimes called in the literature. However, Helen shows some similarities with both Uṣās and Sūryā.

**Helen’s parenthood, epithets and attributes**

Let us start with the question of her parenthood as well as epithets and attributes which connect her with the divine. The issue of Helen’s parenthood occupied the minds of ancient scholars and there have been many competing theories, but almost everybody agrees that her father was Zeus. The *Iliad* firmly confirms that and states that she had the same mother as the Dioskouroi, that is Leda, although she is not explicitly named in the poem. As to similarities with Sūryā, only once


and in late sources Helen is called the daughter of Ἁλίος – the Sun, which because of its late character is not very significant, but it is an interesting remark, highlighting Helen’s solar connotations. The main controversy revolves around the identity of Helen’s mother. Hesiod’s Catalogue of Women suggests Leda. However, the Cypria states that Helen was the daughter of Zeus and Nemesis who laid an egg with Helen with it, and Leda was her adoptive mother. The best-known version is that it was Leda who laid the egg from which Helen was born. In truth, we cannot tell if the oldest authors knew the story of the birth from an egg.

The whole matter is important from the comparative point of view, because, strikingly, it does find a parallel in the Baltic region. The Lithuanian mythology knows the figure of Sáulė dukrųtė, the Latvian – Saules meita – Daughter of the Sun. They have spread to Estonia and Finland. In Estonia, she became Salme and was said to have been born from a goose-egg, just like Helen.

Another interesting area are Helen’s epithets and attributes which connect her both with the divine and with the Indo-European tradition. An excellent review of this topic has been prepared by L. Clader in her monograph on Helen, where she shows that Helen shares her epithets mostly with goddesses rather than mortal women, for example λευκώλενος – white-armed – used predominantly of Hera, or κούρη Διός – daughter of Zeus, usually describing Athena. Given the importance of the formulaic nature of these phrases and their archaic character, it might suggest that earlier in the epic tradition Helen did belong more to the realm of gods. Alongside κούρη Διός, the most important epithet of Helen from the Indo-European perspective is Διὸς θυγάτηρ with the same meaning, also often used to describe Aphrodite, which etymologically and semantically corresponds exactly to Vedic epithet of Uṣās – Dawn – duhitā Divāḥ or Divó duhitā – daughter of Dyaus (Heaven). This is crucial, because Uṣās is not only the daughter of Dyaus, but is sometimes called the sister of the Aśvins. Born on the yoke of their chariot.

---


28 RV 1.180.2: when your sister [=Dawn] will bring you, o you welcomed by all, and (the singer) solemnly invokes you for victory’s prize and for refreshment, o honey-drinkers, translation: S.W. Jamison, J.P. Brereton, The Rigveda. The Earliest Religious Poetry of India, vol. I, Oxford 2014 [= SAR] (c-
It is difficult to decide how to treat such passages, because the Aśvins were sons of Saranyū and Sūrya in his form of Vivasvan, or sometimes Savitṛ, another solar deity, while Usās is clearly the daughter of Dyaus, thus it is more reasonable to treat this as a metaphor for companionship, rather than an indication of real kinship.

**Relationship with the Divine Twins**

The most obvious place to go when we want to establish Helen’s Indo-European provenience is her relationship with her twin brothers – the Dioskouroi – the Greek version of the Divine Twins, known in the Vedas as the Aśvins. The Proto-Indo-European origins of the myth of the Divine Twins is a topic for another paper, but it is relatively uncontroversial and widely accepted by linguists and historians of religion. What is most important for us is the similarity of the relationship between the Aśvins and the Vedic goddesses Usās and Sūryā, and between the Dioskouroi and Helen. Thus, even though Ἠώς is still present in Homeric mythology as a separate divinity, the attributes of the Proto-Indo-European goddess of Dawn are divided between several figures, including Ἠώς, Aphrodite and Helen. One has to note the especially close link between Aphrodite and Helen in Greek mythology and the story of the Trojan War. In the Vedic mythology, the Aśvins represent the light of sunrise and sunset, they accompany Usās in a golden chariot. They both married together Sūryā – the daughter of the Sun. Their associations with the Sun and the Dawn are very obvious, but their exact relationship is not. The way we interpret this relationship between the Aśvins, Usās and Sūryā, determines how we want to translate it into the Greek model. This is the most problematic matter. Since Aśvins are both strongly associated with Usās and Sūryā – the former being called their sister and the later their wife, scholars are at pains recreating this pattern in the Greek mythology.

While Aśvins and Dioskouroi are quite obviously equivalent, it is difficult to decide whether it is better to link Helen with Usās or with Sūryā. On one hand, the argument for Usās is the exact same epithet of Διὸς θυγάτηρ and passages declaring Usās the sister of Aśvins, just like Helen is the sister of Kastor and Polydeuces. On the other hand, if we disregard them and assume that Usās and Aśvins were not actually related, the evidence becomes less convincing. If we take Helen as the recreation of Sūryā – the daughter of the Sun, she is still strongly associated with the Divine Twins, and her double marriage is represented by Helen’s bizarre relationship with the Atreidai – Agamemnon and Menelaus. Although she was only married to Menelaus, it was Agamemnon who wooed on his behalf and the two

---

brothers went together on an expedition to win her back. The Dioskouroi are somewhat related to Helen’s marriage as well, because according to Hesiod, they organised the contest for her hand and chose the victor.

The etymology of Helen’s name and her relationship with the Divine Twins do not point to any clear solution, because both Uṣās and Sūryā have clearly a strong connection with the Āśvins. Ultimately, any attempts to decisively equate Helen with either Uṣās or with Sūryā are going to be inconclusive. There are two possibilities: the first one is that Helen simply started to take over the attributes and imagery of Ἠώς, the second one is that she is the Greek embodiment of the Indo-European daughter of the Sun. However, these two options are not mutually exclusive, especially that both the Dawn and the Daughter of the Sun are thematically very similar to each other. If we believe that Helen’s name is indeed very archaic and means ‘Lady of Light’, then it would fit more that she is the Greek reflection of the Sun-princess, who was thematically so close to Dawn, that with time she started to take over her epithets.

**Story of abduction and rescue**

Apart from all the solar connotations, Helen represents a well-known Indo-European theme of a kidnapped wife. It has come to the attention of many scholars that both in the two Indic epics: the *Mahābhārata* and the *Rāmāyana* and in the *Iliad*, the main plot revolves around an abduction of a woman. In her excellent article *Draupadī on the Walls of Troy*, Stephanie Jamison identifies essential, common elements between the two traditions, quite probably inherited from the Indo-European poetic past, shedding some light on the Indo-European practices regarding marriage.

In ancient India, marriage by abduction, called *Rākṣasa*, was a legitimate procedure for the *Kśatriya* – the warrior class, but only if performed in the correct way, as a ritual. It is even described by Bhīṣma, one of the great heroes of the *Mahābhārata*, as the best way of marrying for a warrior. If done correctly, the family of the bride has to accept it, but if done incorrectly, the family or the former husband can launch an expedition to re-abduct the bride. The crucial element is an act of heroism in abducting a wife, for example defeating the current husband in a duel. Thus, the *Iliad* and the *Rāmāyana* are the stories of repercussions of an illegitimate abduction.

The scene from book III of the *Iliad*, when Helen, standing on the walls of Troy, identifies the Greek heroes to Priam, the Trojan king, is directly comparable to

---


the scene from book III of the *Mahābhārata*, where Draupadī, the wife of the Pāṇḍavas, is being abducted by king Jayadratha and while they are escaping in his chariot, she is asked to identify her five husbands pursuing them. It is important to note that the identification is the legal requirement – *dharma* – in these circumstances\(^{32}\). The Pāṇḍavas defeat the army of king Jayadratha and spare his life – a sign of humiliation rather than pity.

The corresponding episode in the *Iliad* follows the same scenario of the counter-abduction. The identification takes place at the first encounter between the Greeks and the Trojans in the *Iliad*, the fact that it is the tenth year of the war seems to be insignificant, in fact many literary scholars claim that the episode originally came from another epic story, because it clearly does not make sense at this point in the war\(^{33}\). S. Jamison suggests that the words of Helen, who is the focal point of the whole scene, are crucial in this episode as they legitimise the duel and the violence that is about to happen. Like in the case of Draupadī, it was *dharma* – the law, which explains its presence in the poem, when in fact Priam must have been able to identify all the major Greek heroes after ten years of fighting\(^{34}\). Even the syntax of the passages is similar. Draupadi describes each of her husbands with two or three lines of relative clauses, revealing their name at the end. The *Iliad* presents it as a dialogue between Priam and Helen, where Priam asks about the heroes describing them also with relative clauses and Helen replies with the name. The oath taken by Menelaus and Paris before their duel also reflects some inherited elements. Calling Zeus and Helios for witness brings up the important distinction between the legitimate abduction with witnesses and an illegitimate one without any\(^{35}\). The duel is a pivotal element as a heroic deed – it will either legitimise Paris’ abduction or Menelaus’ re-abduction. The ending of the scene in the *Iliad* is seemingly very different – Aphrodite covers defeated Paris in the mist and takes him back to Helen. However, it is similar to *Mahābhārata* in the way that Paris, having violated the warrior’s code does not deserve a noble death on the battlefield. Moreover, as this episode seems to be taken from an earlier period of the war, it cannot provide a conclusion to the story for narrative reasons, since we would not get the rest of the epos.

Another parallel with the Indic epics goes back to ‘Helen of the tree’ and her side as a vegetation deity. Another kidnapped wife – Sītā – originally was also a vegetation deity and her name literally means ‘furrow’\(^{36}\).

---

33 Ibidem, p. 1sqq. and notes.
36 RV 4.57.6–7: Become inclined our way, well-portioned Furrow [skr. sīte]. We will extol you, so that you will be well-portioned for us, so that you will be well-fruited for us. Let Indra lay down the Furrow [skr. sītāṃ]; let Pāṣan extend her straight, translation: The Rigveda, vol. I, p. 643.
Lowell Edmunds adds Celtic, specifically Welsh, stories fitting the abduction paradigm\textsuperscript{37}. It is also worth mentioning that Paris was not Helen’s first kidnapper. As a child, she had been abducted by Theseus, who desired to marry a daughter of Zeus. That time, her brothers Castor and Polydeukses managed to rescue her.

The fact that Helen is repeatedly the main character of stories about abduction of women, which have direct parallels in other Indo-European traditions, most explicitly Indic, as we have just seen, reinforces her position as a deeply Indo-European figure in the Greek mythology. This is a completely new and separate angle from the question of her role of the prototypical ‘Daughter of the Sun’ or sharing attributes with the Dawn goddess. The two deeply Indo-European themes coincide within her character in the most archaic Greek literature.

\textbf{Story of eidolon}

As I mentioned before, O. Skutsch prefers to align the Helen with Vedic Saranyū, mainly on shaky etymological grounds. Saranyū is of course also closely connected with the Aśvins being their mother, and with the Sun, being the wife of Sūrya. It has to be mentioned that a striking similarity between Helen and Saranyū is the theme of an \textit{eidolon}, as it is usually called. Saranyū decided to leave her husband, but she left an image of herself, so that he would not realise that she was gone. This immediately reminds us of the story of Stesichorus, who composed a poem absolving Helen from the blame for the Trojan War, saying that she in fact did not go to Troy, it was only her \textit{eidolon} – her image, while she herself spent that whole time in Egypt. There is, however, no evidence whether this story comes from some older tradition, or is entirely a product of poet’s imagination and does not point to any common ancestry of Helen and Saranyū. In light of much stronger arguments for linking Helen with Sūryā – the daughter of the Sun, both linguistically and culturally, it remains but an interesting detail\textsuperscript{38}.

* * *

Helen is a figure deeply rooted in the Indo-European culture. Her name is clearly of Indo-European origins, although there is still controversy regarding its exact etymology. Her strong connection with the Divine Twins and her solar affinities make a strong case for regarding her as a Greek product of the Proto-Indo-European Daughter of the Sun, also incorporating some attributes of the Dawn goddess. Her local cults in parts of Greece, most notably Laconia, suggest that she was considered to be a goddess, not just a mortal heroine.


\textsuperscript{38} More on the story of Helen’s \textit{eidolon} vide N. Austin, \textit{Helen of Troy and Her Shameless Phantom}, Ithaca–London 1994 [= MPo].
Apart from that, Helen is the main character of the Indo-European story of wife abduction, finding close narrative parallels in the *Mahābhārata* and the *Rāmāyana*. The comparative analysis of S. Jamison not only provides a better interpretation of the scene in *Iliad* III, but additionally connects Helen with the Indo-European world.
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