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Abstract

The focus of our study is on determining whether unemployment rates in 8 New Industrialized Economies conform to the natural rate hypothesis or the hysteresis hypothesis. To this end, we employ a variety of unit of unit root testing procedures to quarterly data collected between 2002:q1 and 2017:q1. Summarizing of our
findings, conventional unit root tests which account neither for asymmetries nor structural breaks produce the most inconclusive results. On the other hand, tests which incorporate structural breaks while ignoring asymmetries tends to favour the natural rate hypothesis for our panel of countries. However, simultaneously accounting for asymmetries and unobserved structural breaks seemingly produces the most robust findings and confirms hysteresis in all unemployment rates except for Asian economies/countries of Thailand and the Philippines.
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**Introduction**

From the Great Depression of the 1930’s, to the stagflation period of the 1970’s and early 1980’s, to the Asian Financial crisis of 2000 and the more recent global financial crisis and recession periods of 2007–2010, the social severity of any major crisis is measurable by the extent to which it impacts unemployment. In the face of a crisis, policymakers commonly rely on fiscal and/or monetary expansionary strategies aimed at stimulating the economy and reducing prevailing unemployment rates. With respect to the recent global crisis, implementing such policies was a success in a few industrialized economies such as the US and Germany but it did not suffice in other European countries like Greece, Spain and Italy. Historical trajectories tend to support these occurrences tracing back to Friedman’s (1968) contention for the existence of a natural rate of unemployment for the US economy, a situation whereby unemployment reverts back to its ‘natural rate’ after a shock to the series. On the other hand, Blanchard and Summers (1986) argument for hysteresis in unemployment for other European countries appears to hold since shocks to unemployment in these countries have permanent effects.

The current consensus based on the available empirical literature is that the issue of whether shocks exert transitional or permanent effects on the unemployment rate can be tested straightforwardly via the following set of hypotheses:

- **H0:** Natural rate hypothesis ~ unemployment in a I(0) process,
- **HA:** Hysteresis hypothesis ~ unemployment in a I(1) process.

Nevertheless, the empirical testing of the above hypothesis is plagued with several technical complexities with respect to econometrically capturing the true data generating process of the unemployment series. In particular, whereas conventional, first-generation unit root tests can be commended for providing a convenient platform for directly testing the natural rate hypothesis versus the hysteresis hypothesis, many of these integration tests fail to appropriately account for structural breaks and asymmetries in the data generating process of the unemployment series. It is well known
from the current literature that ignoring either structural breaks or asymmetries will produce low power in the testing for integration properties of a time series (Perron 1989, Kapetanios et al. 2003, Kruse 2011).

In order to appropriately address these concerns, our study adopts a flexible Fourier form (FFF) approximation to Kapetanios et al.’s (2003) nonlinear unit root testing procedure which is applied to 8 Newly Industrialized Economies (i.e. Brazil, China, Mexico, South Africa, Turkey, the Philippines, Malaysia and Thailand) between 2002 and 2017. The FFF methodology comes as a variant of Galliant (1981) seminal paper on Fourier approximation usage in capturing the dynamics of unknown periodic and non-periodic functions and has been more formally ushered into the time series paradigm by Becker et al. (2006), Christopoulos and Leon-Ledesma (2010), Rodriguez and Taylor (2012) and Enders and Lee (2012). Within the econometrics paradigm, flexible Fourier approximation has the remarkable ability to capture a series of smooth structural breaks without a-priori knowledge of the break dates. This is a notable improvement on other ‘structural break’ unit root tests which cannot test for more than two structural breaks in a series due to concerns about losing testing power. Notably, FFF-based unit root tests have recently been applied with a high degree of success to investigate the integration properties of unemployment rates for various regions (see Cheng et al. (2014) for PIIGS countries; Furoka (2014) for 5 Asian Pacific countries and Bakas and Papapetrou (2014) for 13 Greek regions and Li et al. (2017)), but is yet to be applied to New Industrialized economies as a wider transcontinental-continental group of countries. Our study acknowledges this gap and extends on the literature towards these NIE’s.

Against this background, the rest of the study is structured as follows. The next section of the paper presents the literature review while the third section outlines our empirical methodology. The data and empirical results are presented in section four and section five concludes.

**Review of the associated literature**

In his celebrated Presidential address in 1968, Milton Friedman formally coined the term “natural rate” of unemployment, which refers to the rate of unemployment which is consistent with a steady rate of inflation (Phelps 1967; Friedman 1968). In describing the encompassing Natural Rate Hypothesis (NRH) also known as the Non-Accelerating Inflation Rate of Unemployment (NAIRU), Friedman (1968, 1977) and Phelps (1967, 1968) propose that natural unemployment is a combination of frictional as well as structural unemployment that is unavoidable in the long run and this natural rate is independent of monetary policy and consequentially inflation i.e. money neutrality. Therefore, according to the Friedman-Phelps synthesis monetary authorities cannot exploit the conventional Phillips (1958) curve trade-off and this served as a plausible explanation for the then paradox of the soaring inflation and unemployment experienced during the stagflation periods of the 1970’s.
Blanchard and Summers (1986) challenged the natural rate hypothesis by advocating for the concept of 'hysteresis', in which the natural rate can be influenced by the path of actual unemployment. According to the authors, there are two theoretical justifications for the existence of hysteresis in unemployment. The first justification is based on market rigidities. Lindbeck and Snower (1988) support the view that the existence of hysteresis is due to the power of labour unions that keep the equilibrium wage high, and therefore increase unemployment. The second justification for hysteresis is based on the anticipation of inflation in a Phillips Curve approach, whereby downward pressures on inflation lead to sustained high unemployment (Hall 1979). Overall, under the assumption of hysteresis, cyclical fluctuations exert permanent effects on structural unemployment, in the presence of labour market restrictions (Albulescu and Tiwari 2018).

In perspective, the issue of whether unemployment adheres to the natural rate hypothesis or to the hysteresis hypothesis boils down to the issue of whether unemployment converges back to its steady-state equilibrium after a transitory shock or whether long-lasting unemployment spells arise from cyclical fluctuations. Pragmatically, empirical academics have sought to untangle this puzzle by employing unit root testing procedures, a strategy popularized by the influential seminal contribution of Nelson and Plosser (1982). The decision rule is that the natural rate holds if unemployment rates are mean-reverting whereas the hysteresis hypothesis holds if the series contains a unit root and the empirical works found in the literature can be best categorized according to their methodological influences.

The first group of studies which can be identified from the literature are those which relied on conventional unit root tests such as the ADF, PP, KPSS and DF-GLS (Brunello (1990) for Japan; Mitchell (1993) for 18 OECD countries; Roed (1996) for 16 OECD countries; Song and Wu (1997) for 48 US states; Symth (2003) for Australian states; Leon-Ledesma and McAdam (2004) for 12 CEE countries; Chang et al. (2007) for Taiwan; Mednik et al. (2010) for 13 Latin American countries; Liu et al. (2012) for Australian states; Bakas and Papapetrou (2014) for Greek regions; Marques et al. (2017) for 28 OECD countries). Notably, these conventional unit root tests fell under severe criticism as they failed to account for important structural breaks in the time series. This shortcoming was initially pointed out by Perron (1989) who demonstrated that failure to account for structural breaks leads to a bias against rejecting the null hypothesis in the unit root tests when the null should be rejected.

A second group of studies emerged in the literature which took heed of the arguments posed by Perron (1989), and began implementing unit root tests on the unemployment series which accounted for structural breaks (Zivot and Andrews 1992; Lee and Strazicich 2004, 2013). Some prominent studies which fall under this category of studies are the works of Song and Wu (1998), Gomes and daSilva (2008) for Brazil and Chile; Cuestas et al. for 8 CEE countries; Ayala et al. (2012) for 18 Latin American countries; and Garcia-Cintado et al. (2015) for Spanish regions. Nonetheless, the unit root tests accounting for structural breaks could not explain intermediate theories
of unemployment such as the persistence theory of Hall (1975) nor the structuralist hypothesis of Phelps (1994) which argued that the movements in the unemployment rate are movements around the natural rate and that an increase in unemployment is the result of a combination of constant shocks whose speed of adjustment varies.

Ultimately, these intermediate theories characterize the unemployment rate as a non-linear process which is stationary around an occasionally changing natural rate. These hypotheses could only be faithfully accounted for by either using fractional integration or nonlinear unit testing procedure since conventional unit root tests suffer from low power properties in the presence of existing asymmetries (Lanzafame 2009, Bahmani-Oskooee et al. 2018). This has led to a third and more recent group of ‘nonlinear’ studies which can be further sub-divided into two sub-groups. Under the first sub-group are studies which employ nonlinear unit root tests which do not account for structural breaks. In this regard, one of the most popular asymmetric unit root test found in the literature comes courtesy of Kapetanois et al. (2003), Ucar and Omay (2009) and Kruse (2011) and has been extensively applied in the works of Gustavsson and Osterholm (2006) for 5 EU countries; Yilanci (2008) for 19 OECD countries; and Lee (2010) for 29 OECD countries. Nevertheless, these nonlinear tests have proven to be unreliable in capturing structural breaks, which has led to the second sub-group of studies which augment the unit root testing procedures with flexible Fourier form (FFF). Studies belonging to this latter group include the works of Chang (2011) for 17 OECD countries, Cheng et al. (2014) for PIIGS countries, Furuoka (2014) for 5 Asian-Pacific countries, Bolat et al. (2014) for 17 Eurozone countries, Bakas and Papapetrou (2014) for 13 Greek regions, Furuoka (2017) for 5 EU countries and Meng et al. (2017) for 14 OECD countries, and Li et al. (2017) for PIIGS countries. Our current study extends on these recent works for the case of Newly Industrialized Economies.

Methodology

KSS nonlinear unit root test

We begin our analysis following Kapetanois et al. (2003), and assume that the unemployment rate, which we denoted as UNEMP, evolves as the following ESTAR data-generating process:

\[
\Delta UNEMP_t = \phi UNEMP_{t-1} + \gamma UNEMP_{t-1}[1 - \exp(-\Phi UNEMP_{t-1}^2)] + et
\]

(1)

where \( et \sim iid(0, \sigma^2) \) and \( \Phi \) is a smoothness parameter. Following Kapetanois et al. (2003) we assume that \( \phi = 0 \) and \( d=1 \) i.e.

\[
\Delta UNEMP_t = \gamma UNEMP_{t-1}[1 - \exp(-\Phi UNEMP_{t-1}^2)] + e_t
\]

(2)
In which the series is assumed to be globally stationary if the condition $-2 < \gamma < 0$ is satisfied. Nevertheless, the unit root hypothesis can be formally tested as $H_0: \Phi = 0$, and yet testing this hypothesis is problematic due to the unidentified, nuisance parameters existing under the alternative hypothesis (Davies 1987). To circumvent this problem, a first order-order Taylor series approximation to equation (2) around $\Phi = 0$ results in the following auxiliary regression:

$$\Delta \text{UNEMP}_t = \text{UNEMP}^{3}_{t-1} + e_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

And in augmenting equation (3) with lags to correct for serial correlation in the disturbance term, we obtain:

$$\delta_i \Delta \text{UNEMP}_t = \text{UNEMP}^{3}_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \text{UNEMP}_{t-j} + e_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The null hypothesis of a linear unit root process can be now tested as $H_0: \delta_i = 0$ against the alternative of the stationary ESTAR process (i.e. $H_1: \delta_i = 0$). Like the conventional ADF test, the asymptotic critical value of the Kapetanios et al. (2003) unit root test is computed as:

$$t_{KSS} = \frac{\hat{\beta}}{\sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{\beta})}} = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{T} Y_{t-1} Y_t}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{T} Y_{t-1}^6}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Note that the $t_{KSS}$ statistic does not follow an asymptotic standard normal distribution, and hence Kapetanios et al. (2003) tabulate the relevant critical values.

**Flexible Fourier Form (FFF) augmented tests**

A major criticism with the testing procedure of Kapetanos et al. (2003) surrounds its failure to appropriately capture structural breaks in the testing procedure. The seminal papers of Becker et al. (2006), Christopoulos and Leon-Ledesma (2010), Rodriguez and Taylor (2012) and Enders and Lee (2012) develop unit root testing procedures which uses selected frequency component of a Fourier function to estimate the deterministic components of the series. Denoting $\alpha(t)$ as a function with an unknown number of unspecified form, the Fourier approximation to the function produces the following series:

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + a_k \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi K t}{T} \right) + b_k \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi K t}{T} \right) + \zeta t, \hspace{0.5cm} n < \frac{T}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$k$ is the frequency selected for the approximation and $n$ denotes the number of frequencies, which as suggested by Becker et al. (2006) and Enders and Lee (2012) should
be kept to a single-frequency component (i.e. \( n = 1 \)) which is sufficient to capture a series of smooth structural breaks and circumvent the problem of over-fitting and loss of regression power i.e.

\[
\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_i \sin\left(\frac{2\pi K t}{T}\right) + b_i \cos\left(\frac{2\pi K t}{T}\right) + \zeta, \quad (7)
\]

And augmenting the nonlinear unit root testing regression (4) with equation (7) results in:

\[
\Delta UNEMP_t = UNEMP_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} UNEMP_{t-\nu} + \alpha_i \sin\left(\frac{2\pi K t}{T}\right) + b_i \cos\left(\frac{2\pi K t}{T}\right) + \zeta = t \quad (8)
\]

Becker et al. (2006), Christopoulos and Leon-Ledesma (2010), Rodriguez and Taylor (2012), and Enders and Lee (2012) commonly suggest that regression (8) be estimated after conducting a grid search in optimal values of \( K \in [1, 5] \) and lag length, \( p \). As before, the test statistic testing the null hypothesis of a unit root (i.e. \( H_0: \delta_i = 0 \)) is derived using equation (5).

## Data and empirical results

### Data description

The data used in our empirical study were retrieved from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) online statistics. They consist of the total unemployment rate for 8 NIE economies (i.e. Brazil, China, Mexico, South Africa, Turkey, the Philippines, Malaysia and Thailand) and were collected on a quarterly basis spanning from 2002:q1 to 2017:q1. The descriptive statistics of the time series are reported in Table 1 and the associated time series plots are presented in Figure 1.

As can be easily noted, the lowest unemployment rates for all NIE countries is found for Thailand (1.34%) followed by Malaysia (3.32%), China (4.10%), Mexico (4.12%), the Philippines (8.02%), Brazil (8.63%), and Turkey (10.10%) while the highest unemployment averages are for South Africa (25.23%). Based on the reported standard deviations, we find the highest volatile unemployment rates in Brazil (2.76) followed by South Africa (2.06), the Philippines (2.04), Turkey (1.43), Mexico (0.81), Thailand (0.48), and Malaysia with the lowest volatility being found in China (0.12). Lastly, we note that a number of unemployment rates display non-normality for China, Turkey, the Philippines and Thailand, an observation that advocates for preliminary signs of asymmetries within the unemployment series of Newly Industrialized Economies.

In terms of continental distribution African and South American countries (South Africa and Brazil) have the highest and most volatile unemployment rates whereas
North American (Mexico) and Asian countries (China, the Philippines, Malaysia, and Thailand) have the lowest and least volatile unemployment rates, with Euro-Asian (Turkey) being intermediate. Judging by the report J-B statistics, unemployment in Asian and Euro-Asian countries are non-normal, an observation which advocates for preliminary signs of asymmetries existing within the observed unemployment series.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>South Africa</th>
<th>Turkey</th>
<th>Philippines</th>
<th>Malaysia</th>
<th>Thailand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>25.23</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>14.53</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. dev.</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob.</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: authors own computations from eviews.

Figure 1. Time series plots of unemployment rates for 8 NIE's

Source: authors own plot on eviews.
**First-generation unit root test**

Our initial empirical analysis involves the testing of the integration properties for unemployment in the 8 NIE's using the ADF, PP, DF-GLS and KPSS. While the ADF, PP and DF-GLS test the null of a unit root against the alternative of a stationary process, the KPSS tests the stationary null against the alternative of a unit root. Moreover, the ADF and DF-GLS critically depend on the number of appropriate lags included in the test regression, which in our analysis is determined through the AIC and SC information criterion. The findings of these tests performed with an intercept and a trend are respectively reported in Panels A and B of Table 2.

As can be observed from Panel A, when an intercept is used, the KPSS detects a unit root for Brazil (5%), Mexico (5%), the Philippines (1%), Malaysia (5%) and Thailand (1%). However, when the ADF and PP tests are used with an intercept then the unit root null is rejected for China, Turkey, Malaysia and Thailand at all critical levels whilst the DF-GLS test finds stationarity for Brazil (10%), Mexico (10%), South Africa (10%), Turkey (10%), Philippines (10%) and Malaysia (1%). From Panel B, when the trend is included in the KPSS test, all countries fail to reject the stationary null hypothesis. However, the ADF and PP tests mutually reject the unit root null hypothesis for China (1%), Turkey (5%), and the Philippines (5%), while the PP exclusively does so for Malaysia (1%) and Thailand (1%). The DF-GLS test does the same for the Philippines (1%) and Malaysia (1%). Nevertheless, the inconclusiveness of these unit root tests in distinguishing between the natural rate hypothesis and the hysteresis hypothesis for the 8 NIE’s is unsurprising considering that the employed integration tests do not account for important structural breaks in the data, mainly attributed to the different global crisis experienced within the timeframe of the data (i.e. the Asian financial crisis (1998–1999), the global financial crisis (2007–2008), or the Sovereign Euro debt crisis (2010)).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Panel A: Intercept</th>
<th>Panel B: Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H0: stationary</td>
<td>H0: unit root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KPSS</td>
<td>ADF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-4.61*** [0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-2.27 [0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>0.77***</td>
<td>-2.21 [0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>0.63**</td>
<td>-4.27*** [0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>0.95***</td>
<td>-2.08 [4]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Optimal lag length of ADF and DF-GLS tests reported in brackets [].
Source: authors own computations from eviews.
Second generation unit root tests

The so-called second-generation tests of Lee and Strazicich (2004, 2013) develop the influential works of Perron (1989), Zivot and Andrews (1992) and Lumsdaine and Papell (1997) who initially criticized conventional unit root tests on the premise that they ignore structural breaks in the testing procedure which then heightens the possibility of accepting the unit root hypothesis when the alternative stationary hypothesis is true. Lee and Strazicich (2004, 2013) particularly contributed to the paradigm by accounting for ‘breaks’ under both the unit root null hypothesis as well as in the stationary alternative as opposed to testing the unit root null against the alternative of structural breaks of which the alternative hypothesis could either be structural breaks with unit root or structural breaks with stationarity. The authors thus propose endogenous minimum Lagrange-Multiplies (LM) testing procedures which are invariant to breakpoint nuisance parameters and these tests can account for single (Lee and Strazicich 2004) or double (Lee and Strazicich 2004) structural breaks.

Table 3. LS unit root test results: “Crash” model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Panel A: LS (one break)</th>
<th>Panel B: LS (double breaks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum LM-stat Break</td>
<td>Minimum LM-stat Break1 Break2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ***, **, * denote the 1%, 5% and 10% critical levels, respectively. Optimal lag length of LS tests reported in brackets [].
Source: authors’ own computations from eviews.

We apply two variations of these models to our empirical data, the first being the ‘crash’ model, which allows for a one-time change in level, while the second is the ‘break’ model which allows for a change in level and trend slope. The results of the ‘crash’ and ‘break’ model unit root tests for the NIE economies are reported in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Starting with the results from the crash model as found in Table 3, Panels A and B respectively report the findings of the single-break and double-break tests which both reject the unit root hypothesis in support of the natural rate hypothesis for South Africa (5%), Turkey (1%), the Philippines (1%) and Malaysia (1%), while accepting the hysteresis hypothesis for Brazil, China, Mexico and Thailand.
However, the unanimity of results is not observed for the break model as the single-break version as found in Panel A of Table 4 rejects the hysteresis hypothesis in favour of the natural rate for all countries (Brazil (1%), China (10%), Mexico (5%), South Africa (1%), Turkey (5%), the Philippines (1%) and Malaysia (1%) with the sole exception of Thailand. On the other end of the spectrum, the double-break tests found in Panel B of Table 4 only rejects the hysteresis hypothesis for Brazil (1%), China (5%), South Africa (1%), Turkey (5%), the Philippines (1%) and Malaysia (1%). Based on an overall summary of these second-generation tests we conclude that all performed tests mutually reject the hysteresis hypothesis only for South Africa, Turkey, the Philippines and Malaysia and they consistently reject the natural rate hypothesis for Thailand.

Table 4. LS unit root test results: "Break" model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Panel A: LS (one break)</th>
<th>Panel B: LS (double breaks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum LM-stat</td>
<td>Break1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>-4.08* [4]</td>
<td>2005:q1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ***, **, * denote the 1%, 5% and 10% critical levels, respectively. Optimal lag length of LS tests reported in brackets [].
Source: authors own computations from eviews.

Nonlinear and flexible Fourier function-based unit root tests

The unit root tests presented thus far have not addressed the issue of possible asymmetries dictating the evolution of the time series. In this section of the paper we present the findings of the KSS nonlinear unit root tests performed without an FFF and with an FFF and the findings from this empirical exercise are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. To recall, FFF approximation is a low frequency component which captures a number of smooth breaks without requiring prior knowledge of the structural break dates. So, while nonlinearity may be an important consideration in determining the integration properties of the unemployment time series, the inclusion of the FFF approximation strengthens the reliability of the nonlinear test by accounting for unobserved structural breaks.
Is It the Natural Rate Hypothesis or the Hysteresis Hypothesis for Unemployment Rates...

For control purposes, we begin our analysis by focusing on the KSS test performed without an FFF approximation as found in Table 5. The results point to the hysteresis hypothesis being rejected for only the Philippines (10%) and Thailand (1%), while for the remaining economies – Brazil, China, Mexico, South Africa, Turkey and Malaysia -, the hysteresis hypothesis holds. Moreover, similar results are obtained when the FFF approximation is included in the test regression, with the slight exception that the hysteresis hypothesis is mutually rejected at a 5 percent critical level for both the Philippines and Thailand. Collectively, these results emphasize the importance of simultaneously accounting for nonlinearities and unobserved structural breaks when testing the integration properties of the unemployment series.

Table 5. KSS unit root test without FFF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>KSS Stat</th>
<th>Optimal lag</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>SC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.285</td>
<td>2.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2.898</td>
<td>-2.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.999</td>
<td>3.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.320</td>
<td>2.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine</td>
<td>-2.07*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.488</td>
<td>2.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>-2.46***</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.409</td>
<td>-0.229</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ***, **, * denote 1%, 5% and 10% critical levels, respectively. The optimal lag lengths for the tests are based on the minimization of AIC and SC information criterion. The critical values associated with KSS tests are derived from Kapetanois et al. (2003).
Source: authors own computations from eviews.

Table 6. KSS unit root test with FFF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>KSS stat</th>
<th>Optimal lag</th>
<th>K*</th>
<th>SSR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>-0.989</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>-0.436</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine</td>
<td>-2.57**</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>-2.38**</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.529</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ***, **, * denote 1%, 5% and 10% critical levels, respectively. The optimal lag lengths for the tests are based on the minimization of AIC and SC information criterion. Optimal frequency approximation, K*, is selected by minimizing the SSR. The critical values associated with KSS tests are derived from Kapetanois et al. (2003).
Source: authors own computations from eviews.
Conclusion

Using quarterly data collected between 2002:q1 and 2017:q1, this study sought to determine whether unemployment rates in 8 Newly Industrialized Economies (countries) adhere to the natural rate hypothesis or the hysteresis hypothesis. We consider our empirical exercise important since the most recent sub-prime crisis and the ensuing global recession periods, crippled the global economy, with increased unemployment rates being the yardstick measure of the social repercussions of the global downturn. The crisis itself poses an econometric challenge, as techniques which account for such structural breaks must be utilized in order to overcome problems of low testing power in detecting possible unit root patterns.

Our study bypasses conventional structural unit root testing procedures, which can only account for a maximum of two known structural breaks, and relies on unit root testing procedures which simultaneously account for a series of unobserved structural breaks as well as possible asymmetries. However, as a preliminary exercise we firstly performed a variety of unit root test which ignored structural breaks and other unit root tests which endogenously account for either one or two structural breaks. These preliminaries provide mixed inferences, with the endogenous structural break tests more-or-less pointing to the natural rate hypothesis in most countries. However, when performing the more rigorous tests which account for asymmetries and unobserved structural breaks, unemployment in most Newly Industrialized Economies conform to the hysteresis hypothesis, with the exception of two Asian countries, Thailand and the Philippines, whose unemployment rates are found to be mean-reverting stationary. It would, therefore, be advised that policymakers in the other countries should direct efforts towards labor markets reforms aimed at reducing unemployment rates.
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Streszczenie

Czy stopy bezrobocia w gospodarkach nowo uprzemysłowionych kształtują się zgodnie z hipotezą stopy naturalnej czy z hipotezą histerezy?

Celem badania było ustalenie czy stopy bezrobocia w 8 gospodarkach nowo uprzemysłowionych kształtują się zgodnie z hipotezą stopy naturalnej czy z hipotezą histerezy. W tym celu zastosowano wiele rodzajów testów pierwiastka jednostkowego w odniesieniu danych kwartalnych zebranych między 1 kwartałem 2002 a 1 kwartałem 2017. Podsumowując ustalenia można stwierdzić, że konwencjonalne testy pierwiastka jednostkowego, które nie uwzględniają ani asymetrii, ani zmian strukturalnych, dają najbardziej niejednoznaczne wyniki. Z drugiej strony, testy uwzględniające zmiany strukturalne przy zignorowaniu asymetrii potwierdzałyby hipotezę stopy naturalnej dla przyjętego panelu państw. Jednak jednoczesne uwzględnienie asymetrii i niezauważalnych zmian strukturalnych wydaje się dawać najbardziej wiarygodne wyniki i potwierdza histerezę w przypadku stóp bezrobocia wszystkich państw, za wyjątkiem gospodarek/państw azjatyckich: Tajlandii i Filipin.

Słowa kluczowe: hipoteza stopy naturalnej, hipoteza histerezy, bezrobocie; testy pierwiastka jednostkowego, aproksymacja Fouriera; gospodarki nowo uprzemysłowione